

### **Article/ Paper Peer Review Process:**

- 1. Paper Submission:** Authors submit full length papers through the CMT portal before the submission deadline.
- 2. Reviewer Assignment:** Each submitted paper is assigned to a minimum of 3 independent reviewers by the Track Chair/Program Committee Chair based on their expertise and conflict of interest.
- 3. Review Process:** Reviewers evaluate the papers and provide recommendations in the CMT system with one of the given decisions: Accept with Minor Correction, Accept with Major Correction or Reject.
- 4. Decision Meeting:** The Program Committee reviews the recommendations for each paper - If at least two reviewers recommend 'Minor Correction', the paper is accepted conditionally, subject to the authors addressing the corrections. If 'Major Correction' is recommended by the majority, the authors must revise and resubmit within the specified time. The revised paper may be reviewed again or checked by the Track Chair for compliance. Papers with 'Reject' by majority will not be included in the conference proceedings.
- 5. Notification to Authors:** Authors are notified of the decision (Accept with Minor/Major Corrections or Reject) through CMT. Detailed reviewer comments are shared to help authors revise their papers.
- 6. Final Submission & Verification:** Authors of accepted papers must submit the final, corrected version within the deadline. The Program Committee verifies that all reviewer comments are addressed before including the paper in the final program and proceedings.
- 7. Publication & Presentation:** Accepted papers will be scheduled for presentation at ICNGSMCA 2025 and published in the official conference proceedings.